SAN ANTONIO COLLEGE RUBRIC FOR EVALUATING DEPARTMENT/UNIT STRATEGIC ASSESSMENT PLAN

Evaluating

Missing	Under Developed	Adequately Developed	Well Developed	Score
Score = 0	Score = 1 – 3	Score = 4 – 7	Score = 8 – 10	
□ None	 Does not include key elements such as purpose, primary function, or stakeholders Does not align with division/college/district mission Statement is vague, unclear or inaccurately represents unit NOTES: 	 Includes statement of purpose Identifies stakeholders Aligned with college's/division's/department's mission Aligned with relevant professional organizations (as applicable) Understandable and appropriate NOTES: 	 Clearly, concisely, accurately and succinctly written Clear and well-written purpose Identification of stakeholders Aligned/consistent with college's/division's/department's mission Notes unique aspects of unit Creates a sense of team and "buy-in"; "we" perspective Inspirational and aspirational Aligned with relevant professional organizations (as applicable) NOTES: 	Mission Statement Score:
<mark>Example Miss</mark> Source: San A To empower o practices for c	liverse populations in a globally networke	e postsecondary learning needs of a ed society. To help students achieve	is less than 8. All San Antonio College Students through equitable of their full potential by preparing them to graduate, ership ability, personal and civic responsibility, emp	transfer, or

Evaluating

Assessment E	lement – Goals			
Missing	Under Developed	Adequately Developed	Well Developed	Score
Score = 0	Score = 1 – 3	Score = 4 – 7	Score = 8 – 10	
□ None	 Not aligned with mission statement Too many or too few Too vague Too specific NOTES:	 Alignment to mission More specific expression of aspirations than mission but still general NOTES: 	 Clear alignment of goals to mission Thoughtfully presented with depth and breadth to provide direction Appears feasible and attainable Develops a sense of department/unit intent Highly aspirational NOTES:	Goals Score:
Supporting	g comment(s) to justify or explain a Go	als score which is less than 8.		
Student Succes awards (certific Principle-Cente Performance E plan. Equity Goal 1: I	tonio College 2022-2025 Strategic Plan is Goal 1: Achieve higher rates of enrollme cates and degrees) across all student grou ered Leadership Goal 1: Develop strategie	ps. s and systems that promote leaders de scorecard process to share and c ling, and Transformational Center.	ascade goals, measures, and outcomes aligned to the	

Evaluating

Assessment E	lement – Objectives			
Missing	Under Developed	Adequately Developed	Well Developed	Score
Score = 0	Score = 1 – 3	Score = 4 – 7	Score = 8 – 10	
🗆 None	Not aligned with goal	\Box Generally aligned and	Clear and direct alignment with goal	Objectives
	\Box Not measurable or verifiable	associated with goal	Very specific and descriptive	Score:
	🗆 Not attainable	Somewhat specific	Definitely measurable	
	\Box Not relevant or realistic	Measurable	🗆 Highly attainable	
	Does not include a timeline	Seemingly attainable	Very realistic and relevant	
	\Box Lacks association to college's/	\Box Appears to be	□ Includes a clear, well defined and succinct	
	division's strategic plans	relevant/realistic	timeline	
		\Box Includes some timeline	Clear and logical associations to	
	NOTES:	\Box Is associated to	college's/division's strategic plans	
		college's/division/s strategic		
		plans	NOTES:	
		NOTES:		
Supporting	comment(s) to justify or explain an C	bjectives score which is less tha	n 8.	
Example Object	tives			
• •	tonio College 2019-2022 Strategic Plan –	Plan Year 2019-2020		
	nformed pathways to support student ac			
	tonio College 2016-2019 Strategic Plan –			
	THWAYS GOAL 1: Access			
By Spring of 20	18, SAC will define clear pathways by wh	ich students may access the college		

Evaluating

Assessment E	Element – <mark>Measures</mark>			
Missing	Under Developed	Adequately Developed	Well Developed	Score
Score = 0	Score = 1 – 3	Score = 4 – 7	Score = 8 – 10	
🗆 None	Not aligned to objective	□ Clear alignment with	Clear alignment with objective	Measures
	Does not use direct measures	objective	□ Effective use of a combination of direct/indirect	Score:
	Does not use existing measure	Use of direct measures	measures	
	\Box No clear plan for when, where,	Planned measure exists (does	Each measure has a clear purpose	
	how measure will be done	not have to be created)	□ Assessment instruments, measurement tools	
	\Box Measures will not inform the	Plan is evident that defines	developed, clearly described	
	objective	most aspects of the when,	\Box The plan for when, where, how measure will be	
		where, how	given is clear and concise	
	NOTES:	\Box Measures match the	Results will provide clear evidence of the	
		objective being measured to	progress regarding the objective	
		produce clear and accurate		
		information/data	NOTES:	
		NOTES:		
	g comment(s) to justify or explain the	Measures score.		I
Example Meas				
•	ures Itonio College 2019-2022 Strategic Plan –	Plan Voar 2010 2020		
	ertificates awarded.	Plail feat 2019-2020		
-	itonio College 2016-2019 Strategic Plan –	Plan Voar 2016 2017		
	inection pathways defined.			
	nt Data of FTIC in Fall 2017 (Institutional	Research)		
	(Institutional Research)	hescareng		
		IC: Transfer Students: Dual Credit: Fo	arly College; CE; etc. (Institutional Research)	
	tion Pathways. (VPAS/Advisors/Chairs)			

Evaluating

Assessment E	lement – Targets			
Missing	Under Developed	Adequately Developed	Well Developed	Score
Score = 0	Score = 1 – 3	Score = 4 – 7	Score = 8 – 10	
□ None	Not aligned with measure	□ Some alignment with	Clear alignment with measure	Targets
	No timeframe for completion	measure	Clear timeframe for completion	Score:
	\square No description of results to be	□ Timeframe for completion	\Box Effective description of results to be ac	hieved
	achieved	Sufficient description of		
		result to be achieved	NOTES:	
	NOTES:			
		NOTES:		
Supporting	comment(s) to justify or explain the	Fargets score.		
Example Targe				
	tonio College 2019-2022 Strategic Plan - F			
	full-time FTIC graduation by 2 percentag		August 31, 2020.	
	tonio College 2016-2019 Strategic Plan –			
By Spring 2017	100% of connection pathways will be de	tined.		

Evaluating

Assessment I	Element – <mark>Findings</mark>			
Missing	Under Developed	Adequately Developed	Well Developed	Score
Score = 0	Score = 1 – 3	Score = 4 – 7	Score = 8 – 10	
🗆 None	Data not available this cycle	□ Some findings show	One finding per target reported	Findings
	□ Findings lack relevance to the goal	adequate relevance to goals and	All findings reported thoroughly and clearly	Score:
	and the objective	objective	\square All findings show how results will be used to	
	Findings indicate that measures	Multiple findings reported	make improvements	
	and targets were not appropriate for	with adequate detail	Findings show depth of analysis and	
	the goal and the objective	□ Some findings show how	comprehensive understanding of data implications	
	10-50	results are used to make	□ Findings discuss the patterns and trends over	
	NOTES:	improvements	time (year-to-year if appropriate)	
		NOTES:	NOTES:	
Supporting	g comment(s) to justify or explain the	Findings score.		
	g with associated target			
	onio College Entity Strategic Plans - Plan Year - Target: By the end of each semester, 100%		hisor	
	019) – Target: Met	of VA students will be assigned to all at	NISOL.	
		students who are not assigned at NSO	advisors are assigned within 2 working days of receiving r	eports from
the data analyst				
	rss – Target: 5% increase in the use of our stud	ly centers comparing Fall 2017 to Fall 2	018 data.	
• •	019) – Target: Not Reported This Cycle	not has continued to rise based on [stur	dents'] check-ins and tutoring sessions conducted. Fall to fa	
			ncrease in tutoring sessions. We continue to use strategies	
-	ibility of the student centers in each departme	-		
	•			

Evaluating

Assessment	Element – Strategic Planning Outcom	es Analysis		
Missing	Under Developed	Adequately Developed	Well Developed	Score
Score = 0	Score = 1 – 3	Score = 4 – 7	Score = 8 – 10	
None	 Analysis is incomplete or is not provided Analysis lacks relevance to the goal and objective NOTES: 	 Analysis questions are poorly answered and do not clearly/adequately answer how assessment findings are used to make improvements Analysis shows relevance to goals and objectives NOTES: 	 All responses to analysis questions are well developed and thoroughly and clearly answered Analysis responses show how assessment findings are used to make improvements Analysis shows relevance to goals and objectives Analysis demonstrates an understanding of the "big picture" implications of this work NOTES: 	Srategic Planning Outcomes Analysis Score:
Strategic Plan	e e ,		ysis score which is less than 8. Questions are incorporated in the Strategic Planning	